	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/449,851	HOLT ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Gollamudi S Kishore, PhD	1615
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) Gollamudi S Kishore, PhD.	(3)	
(2) <u>Michael Teschner</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 22 January 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
*		
Claims discussed:		
claims on record		
Prior art documents discussed:		
prior art on record		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
LS Kum minor 6.3		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner indicated the allowability of the pending claims if the limitations of the thickness of the spacing layer and the taste masking layer (claims 8 and 12) are introduced in the independent claims. Since claims 4 and 21 are inconsistent with the rapid release nature of the drug as recited in the independent claims, said claims are to be canceled. The amendment will be faxed..

G Kryler G Millere, The